### Systems of ordinary differential equations with nonlocal boundaryconditions

Jean Mawhin

Université Catholique de Louvain

Systems of ordinary differential equations with nonlocal boundary conditions – p.1/**??**

### ICNO 4, Praha, Sept. 6-10, 1967

ČESKOSLOVENSKÁ AKADEMIE VĚD ÚSTAV RADIOTECHNIKY A ELEKTRONIKY

Институт радиотехники и электроники Чехословацкой академии наук Institute of Radio Engineering and Electronics, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences Institut für Radiotechnik und Elektronik der Tschechoslowakischen Akademie der Wissenschaften

Lumumbova 1, Praha 8, ČSSR

Professor P. Ledoux Institut d'Astrophysique, Université de Liège 5, Avenue de Cointe, Cointe Sclessin, Belgium

Prague, July 1, 1967

Dear Prof. Ledoux,

Thank you very much for your letter of June 23. I shall welcome with pleasure Mr. Mawhin at our Conference in September. Unfortunately we have no more announcement in English about the Conference available. So I can enclose only an application form.

We could reserve for Mr. Mawhin a room in college in Prague, but we should be scarcely able to guarantee the participation at the sight-seeing tour of Prague on September 7 and at the outing on September 9, if Mr. Mawhin were interested in these events. The closing date for sending the application form was April 30.

Yours sincerely,

S. Djadsov Dr. Ing. Djadkov, Dr Sc. Chairman of the Organizing Committee

### EQUADIFF III, Brno, 1972

CZECHOSLOVAK CONFERENCE ON DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

#### **EQUADIFF III**

ORGANIZED BY CZECHOSLOVAK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES AND J. E. PURKYNĒ UNIVERSITY BRNO

#### **BRNO · CZECHOSLOVAKIA** AUGUST 28 - SEPTEMBER 1 . 1972

MULDOON M., LORCH L., SZEGO P. Higher monotonicity properties of certain Sturm-Liouville functions

MULDOWNEY J. An intermediate value property for operators with applications to differential equations

PANTELEEV D., BAJNOV D. Ustojčívosť periodičeskikh rešenij kvazilinejnoj avtonomnoj sistemy s zapazdyvaniem v slučae trekhkratnykh kornej amplitudnykh uravnenij

RABMI A. K. The resonance case in linear differential equations

REICH L. Über die Abschätzung des Wachstumsordnung in der Fuchsschen Theorie

SCHMITT B. An index useful for the research of periodic solutions of periodic second-order differential equations

SCHWABIK S. Systeme mit unstetigen Lösungen

STACH K. Die Kummerschen Transformationen zwei-dimensionaler Räume

STOYANOV J., BAJNOV D. Metod usrednenija dlja stokhastičeskikh integro-differencialnykh uravnenij (delivered by Miluševa)

TVRDÝ M. General boundary value problems for linear ordinary differential equations

VILLARI G. Concerning existence of periodic solutions for differential equations

VOSMANSKÝ J. Some higher monotonicity properties of i-th derivatives of solutions of  $y'' + a(t) y' +$  $+ b(t)y = 0$ 

WALTER J. Continuity of the essential spectrum of Sturm-Liouville operators

#### **B.** Partial differential equations:

ADLER G. A method for obtaining uniform pointwise bounds for solutions of elliptic equations of order 2 m

ANGER G. Inverse Probleme des Potentialtheorie (Geophysik)

AXELSSON O. On iterative methods for elliptic problems

BALABAN T. Mixed boundary-value problems for hyperbolic equations

BOJARSKI B. Nonlinear equations and quasiconformal mappings

CATTABRIGA L. On the fundamental solution of partial differential equations

DOKTOR P. Some reports between conjugated harmonic functions

DŽAFAROV A. S. O. Teoremy vloženija dlja klassov funkcij, javljajuščikhsja počti-periodičeskimi otnositeľno časti peremennykh i ikh primenenie

FENYO I. On the differential equation  $\sum c_r (pD_1 + qD_2) u = 0$  $r = 0$ 

GAJEWSKI H. Über eine Approximationsmethode für nichtlineare Evolutionsgleichungen JARNÍK J. Exponential boundedness of solutions of parabolic difference-differential equations JOHN O. On the regularity of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations KAČUR J. Method of Rothe and nonlinear parabolic boundary value problems of arbitrary order

KATKOV V., KOSTJUKOVA N., Nakhoždenie invariantno-gruppovykh rešenij s pomošč'ju EVM KISYŃSKI J.

KLUGE R. Iterationsverfahren bei Folgen nichtlinearer Variationsungleichungen

KOPÁČEK J. On  $L_n$ -estimates for hyperbolic systems

Kopáčková M. On some equations from mathematical physics

KUČERA M. Fredholm alternative for nonlinear operators

LANGENBACH A. Implicite functions and differential equations

MARCINKOWSKA H. Elliptic boundary value problems with distributional data

MAWHIN J. A generalized topological degree and its applications to nonlinear operator and differential equations

MAZJA V. Ob elliptičeskoj zadače s kosoj proizvodnoj v oblasti s kusočno-gladkoj granicej

280

#### Thank you to my Czech colleagues for 50 years of fruitful collaboration *and frienship*



and thank you to my friends of Brno for 45 years of warm hospitality

### A classical existence theorem

- $\mathbb{R}^n$  , $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, |\cdot|, B_R; f \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  $^{n},\mathbb{R}^{n}$  $\left( \begin{matrix} 0 \ 0 \end{matrix} \right)$
- **Thm**. *If*  $\exists R > 0$  : *either*

 $\langle u|f(t,u)\rangle \geq 0, \ \forall (t,u) \in [0,1] \times \partial B$  $R, \$ 

*or*

 $\langle u|f(t,u)\rangle \leq 0, \ \forall (t,u) \in [0,1] \times \partial B$  $R, \$ 

*then*

 $\overline{x}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $f(t, x), \ x(0) = x(1)$ 

## A classical existence theorem

- $\mathbb{R}^n$  , $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, |\cdot|, B_R; f \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  $^{n},\mathbb{R}^{n}$  $\left( \begin{matrix} 0 \ 0 \end{matrix} \right)$
- **Thm**. *If*  $\exists R > 0$  : *either*

 $\langle u|f(t,u)\rangle \geq 0, \ \forall (t,u) \in [0,1] \times \partial B$  $R, \$ 

*or*

 $\langle u|f(t,u)\rangle \leq 0, \ \forall (t,u) \in [0,1] \times \partial B$  $R, \$ 

*then*

 $\overline{x}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $f(t, x), \ x(0) = x(1)$ 

 $h$ as at least one solution taking values in  $\ B_R$ 

equivalent statements :  $\tau=1$  $-\,t$ 

nonlinear version of the linear result : **Prop.**  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \ \forall e \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$  $\left(1\right)$   $\left(0\right)$   $\left(1\right)$   $\left(1\right)$  $\left( \begin{matrix} 0 \ 0 \end{matrix} \right)$  $\mathcal{X}% =\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}^{2}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $=\lambda x + e(t), x(0) = x(1)$  *has a solution* 





 $0$ </u>



### References

special case (not mentioned !) of Theorem 3.2 in M.A. KRASNOSEL'SKII, *The Operator of Translation along the Trajectoriesof Differential Equations*, Moscow, 1966

**Translation Along** Trajectories of<br>Differential Equations M.A. Krasnosel'skii **Volume Nineteen** Translations of Mathematical Monographs

### Krasnosel'skii's theorem

 $\textsf{Thm.}$  If  $\exists\, C,\ \textit{bounded open convex set},\ \Phi_i\in C^1$  $(i=1,\ldots,r):~C=\{u\in\mathbb{R}^n:\Phi_i(u)\leq 0~~(i=1,\ldots$  $\frac{1}{\mathbb{R}^n}$  $^{n},\mathbb{R})$  $\Phi_i(u) = 0$  *for some*  $u \in \partial C \implies \nabla \Phi_i(u) \neq 0$ , and  $\{u\in\mathbb{R}^n:\Phi$  $i(u) \leq 0 \ \ (i = 1, \ldots, r) \},$ *either*

 $\langle \nabla \Phi_i(u) | f(t, u) \rangle \geq 0, \; \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial C, \; \forall i \in \alpha(u),$ *or*

 $\langle \nabla \Phi_i(u) | f(t, u) \rangle \leq 0, \; \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial C, \; \forall i \in \alpha(u),$ *where*

$$
\alpha(u) := \{ i \in \{ 1, \ldots, r \} : \Phi_i(u) = 0 \}
$$

*then*

 $\overline{x}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $f(t, x), \ x(0) = x(1)$ 

*has at least one solution taking values in*C

first existence thm  $\colon\thinspace C=B_R,\;r=1,\;\Phi$  $_1(u)=\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(|u|^2$  $^2-R^2$  $^{2}\big)$ 

### Gustafson-Schmitt's theorem

- C open convex neighborhood of  $0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 
	- $\forall u \in \partial C, \exists \nu(u) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \ : \ \langle \nu(u) | u \rangle > 0$  and  $C \subset \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle v(u) | v - u \rangle < 0\}$  $^{n}:\langle\nu(u)|v$  $-\left|u\right\rangle < 0\}$
	- $\nu : \partial C \to \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  : outer normal field on  $\partial C$

## Gustafson-Schmitt's theorem

- C open convex neighborhood of  $0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 
	- $\forall u \in \partial C, \exists \nu(u) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \ : \ \langle \nu(u) | u \rangle > 0$  and  $C \subset \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle v(u)|v-u \rangle < 0\}$  $-\left|u\right\rangle < 0\}$
	- $\pi n \sqrt{(\alpha)}$  $\nu : \partial C \to \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  : **outer normal field** on  $\partial C$
- **Gustafson-Schmitt's thm**. *If* ∃ C, *bounded convex open*  $n$ eighborhood of  $0$  *in*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\nu,$  outer normal field on  $\partial C$  : *either*

 $\langle \nu(u) | f(t, u) > 0, \ \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial C$ 

*or*

 $\langle \nu(u) | f(t, u) < 0, \ \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial C,$ 

*then*

 $\overline{x}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $f(t, x), \ x(0) = x(1)$ 

*has at least one solution taking values in*C

*Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **42** (1974), 161–166



## Comparison of the results

- KRASNOSEL'SKII'S monograph not quoted by <sup>G</sup>USTAFSON-SCHMITT
- special case  $\ C=B_R \ \text{ explicitly mentioned by}$ GUSTAFSON-SCHMITT

## Comparison of the results

- KRASNOSEL'SKII'S monograph not quoted by <sup>G</sup>USTAFSON-SCHMITT
- special case  $\ C=B_R \ \text{ explicitly mentioned by}$ GUSTAFSON-SCHMITT
- <u>J.M., *Diford 74* (Stará Lesná 1974), I, 37–60 : </u>
	- connection Krasnosel'skii–Gustafson-Schmitt explicited
	- extension of Gustafson-Schmitt's thm to weak inequalities
- Krasnosel'skii's thm special case of extended Gustafson-Schmitt'sthm

## Comparison of the results

- KRASNOSEL'SKII'S monograph not quoted by <sup>G</sup>USTAFSON-SCHMITT
- special case  $\ C=B_R \ \text{ explicitly mentioned by}$ GUSTAFSON-SCHMITT
- <u>J.M., *Diford 74* (Stará Lesná 1974), I, 37–60 : </u>
	- connection Krasnosel'skii–Gustafson-Schmitt explicited
	- extension of Gustafson-Schmitt's thm to weak inequalities
- Krasnosel'skii's thm special case of extended Gustafson-Schmitt'sthm
- several generalizations of Gustafson-Schmitt's thm in
	- J.M., *Diford 74*
	- GAINES-J.M., *Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Springer, 1977

## Nonlocal terminal BVP

- $h:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  nondecreasing,  $\int_0^1$  $\overline{0}$  $\int_{0}^{1} dh(s) = 1,$  $h(0) < h(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$
- **Thm.** If  $\exists\, C,$  open, bounded, convex neighborhood of  $0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  $\boldsymbol{c}$  *and*  $\nu,$  *outer normal field on*  $\partial C$  *:*  $\langle \nu(u) | f(t, u) \rangle \geq 0, \; \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial C,$ *then*

$$
x' = f(t, x), \ x(1) = \int_0^1 dh(s)x(s)
$$

## Nonlocal terminal BVP

- $h:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  nondecreasing,  $\int_0^1$  $\overline{0}$  $\int_{0}^{1} dh(s) = 1,$  $h(0) < h(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$
- **Thm.** If  $\exists\, C,$  open, bounded, convex neighborhood of  $0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  $\boldsymbol{c}$  *and*  $\nu,$  *outer normal field on*  $\partial C$  *:*  $\langle \nu(u) | f(t, u) \rangle \geq 0, \; \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial C,$ *then*

$$
x' = f(t, x), \ x(1) = \int_0^1 dh(s)x(s)
$$

- J.M.-K. SZYMANSKA ´ -DE¸ BOWSKA, *J. Nonlin. Convex Anal.* **<sup>18</sup>**(2017), 149–160 (more general versions are given there)
- special case : multipoint boundary conditions

## Nonlocal initial BVP

- $h:[0,1]\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  non decreasing,  $\int_0^1$  $h(\alpha) < h(1)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  $\overline{0}$  $\int_{0}^{1} dh(s) = 1,$
- **Thm.** *If* ∃ C, *open, bounded, convex neighborhood of* 0 *in*  $\mathbb{R}^n$  $\,$  *and*  $\,$  $\nu, \,$  *outer normal field on*  $\, \partial C \,$  *:*  $\langle \nu(u) | f(t, u) \rangle \leq 0, \; \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial C,$ *then*

 $\mathcal{X}% =\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}^{2}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $f(t, x), x(0) = \int_0^1$  $\overline{0}$  $\int_0^1 dh(s)x(s)$ 

## Nonlocal initial BVP

- $h:[0,1]\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  non decreasing,  $\int_0^1$  $h(\alpha) < h(1)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  $\overline{0}$  $\int_{0}^{1} dh(s) = 1,$
- **Thm.** *If* ∃ C, *open, bounded, convex neighborhood of* 0 *in*  $\mathbb{R}^n$  $\,$  *and*  $\,$  $\nu, \,$  *outer normal field on*  $\, \partial C \,$  *:*  $\langle \nu(u) | f(t, u) \rangle \leq 0, \; \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial C,$ *then*

 $\mathcal{X}% =\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}^{2}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $f(t, x), x(0) = \int_0^1$  $\overline{0}$  $\int_0^1 dh(s)x(s)$ 

- J.M.-K. SZYMANSKA ´ -DE¸ BOWSKA, *J. Nonlin. Convex Anal.* **<sup>18</sup>**(2017), 149–160 (more general versions are given there)
- special case : multipoint boundary conditions

## Special case of <sup>a</sup> ball

**Cor.** If  $h: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is nondecreasing,  $\int_0^1$  $\overline{0}$  $\int_0^1 dh(s) = 1,$  $h(0) < h(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , and if  $\exists R > 0$ :  $\langle u | f(t, u) \rangle \geq 0, \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial B$ R*,then*1

$$
x' = f(t, x), \ x(1) = \int_0^1 dh(s)x(s)
$$

 $h$ as at least one solution taking values in  $\ B_{R}.$ 

## Special case of <sup>a</sup> ball

**Cor.** If  $h: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is nondecreasing,  $\int_0^1$  $\overline{0}$  $\int_0^1 dh(s) = 1,$  $h(0) < h(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , and if  $\exists R > 0$ :  $\langle u | f(t, u) \rangle \geq 0, \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial B$ R*,then*1

$$
x' = f(t, x), \ x(1) = \int_0^1 dh(s)x(s)
$$

 $h$ as at least one solution taking values in  $\ B_{R}.$ 

**Cor.** If  $h: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is nondecreasing,  $\int_0^1$  $h(\alpha) < h(1)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , and if  $\overline{0}$  $\int_0^1 dh(s) = 1,$  $\exists R > 0$ :  $\langle u | f(t, u) \rangle \leq 0, \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \partial B$  $R, \$ *then*1

$$
x' = f(t, x), \ x(0) = \int_0^1 dh(s)x(s)
$$

## Remarks, questions and strategy

- in J.M.–S-D's thms, the sense of inequality for  $\,\braket{u|f(t, u)}$  depends on the BC, in contrast with the periodic case
- however  $\forall \, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  ,  $x'$  $x(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x(1/2) + x(0)]$  or  $x(0) =$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $=\lambda x + e(t),$  $\textit{has a solution} \:\: \forall \: e \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^n)$  $\frac{1}{2}[x(1/2) + x(0)]$  or  $x(0) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[x(1/2)+x(1)]$  $\left( \begin{matrix} n \end{matrix} \right)$
- can we have existence with the opposite sign ?

## Remarks, questions and strategy

- in J.M.–S-D's thms, the sense of inequality for  $\,\braket{u|f(t, u)}$  depends on the BC, in contrast with the periodic case
- however  $\forall \, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  ,  $x'$  $x(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x(1/2) + x(0)]$  or  $x(0) =$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $=\lambda x + e(t),$  $\textit{has a solution} \:\: \forall \: e \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^n)$  $\frac{1}{2}[x(1/2) + x(0)]$  or  $x(0) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[x(1/2)+x(1)]$  $\left( \begin{matrix} n \end{matrix} \right)$
- can we have existence with the opposite sign ?
- we construct counterexamples to show the answer is **no**
	- 2-dimensional eigenvalue problems  $z'=\lambda z$  with  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $\lambda z$  with 3-point BC
	- use Fredholm alternative to obtain forcing terms  $\;e(t)\;$  :  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $\lambda = \lambda z + e(t) + \textsf{BC}$  has no solution for  $\lambda$  eigenvalue
	- show that this non-homogeneous problem written as <sup>a</sup>2-dimensional system  $\ x'=f(t,x)$  satisfies the cor the J.M.–S-D's corollaries with opposite signs for  $\,\langle u|f(t, u)\rangle$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $f(t,x)$  satisfies the conditions of

## Eigenvalue problems

- $\lambda\in\mathbb{C},\;z:[0,1]\to\mathbb{C}$
- $\sim$  1  $\sim$  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $= \lambda z, z(1) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ 
	- eigenvalues :  $2k(2\pi i),~ -\log 4 + (2k+1)(2\pi i) \ \ (k \in \mathbb{Z})$
	- all contained in the left half plane and imaginary axis

## Eigenvalue problems

- $\lambda\in\mathbb{C},\;z:[0,1]\to\mathbb{C}$
- $\sim$  1  $\sim$  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $= \lambda z, z(1) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ 
	- eigenvalues :  $2k(2\pi i),~ -\log 4 + (2k+1)(2\pi i) \ \ (k \in \mathbb{Z})$
	- all contained in the left half plane and imaginary axis
- $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $= \lambda z, z(0) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(1/2)+z(1)]$ 
	- eigenvalues :  $2k(2\pi i)$ ,  $\log 4 + (2k+1)(2\pi i)$   $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$
	- all contained in the right half plane and imaginary axis
- *all complex, except* 0

## Eigenvalue problems

- $\lambda\in\mathbb{C},\;z:[0,1]\to\mathbb{C}$
- $z' = \lambda z, z(1) =$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $= \lambda z, z(1) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ 
	- eigenvalues :  $2k(2\pi i),~ -\log 4 + (2k+1)(2\pi i) \ \ (k \in \mathbb{Z})$
	- all contained in the left half plane and imaginary axis

$$
z' = \lambda z, \ z(0) = \frac{1}{2}[z(1/2) + z(1)]
$$

- eigenvalues :  $2k(2\pi i)$ ,  $\log 4 + (2k+1)(2\pi i)$   $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$
- all contained in the right half plane and imaginary axis
- *all complex, except* 0

**Rem.**z $k(2\pi i),\; (k\in\mathbb{Z})$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $\lambda z, z(0) = z(1)$  has the eigenvalues Half of those eigenvalues move to  $\,\Re z = \log 4\,$  (resp.  $\Re z=-\log 4$  ) for the three-point  $-\log 4$  ) for the three-point BC



 $x(1) = (1/2)[x(0) + x(1/2)]$   $x(0) = x(1)$   $x(0) = (1/2)[x(1/2) + x(1)]$ 

### Fredholm alternative

**prop.**λ *is an eigenvalue of*  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$ (resp.  $z'(t) = \lambda z(t),\ z(0) = 0$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $\lambda z, z(1) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ ′  $(t) = \lambda z(t), z(0) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\Leftrightarrow$  ∃  $e_T$  ∈  $C([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$  (resp. ∃  $e_I$  ∈  $C([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ *)*  $\colon$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(1/2)+z(1)]$  $z'(t) = \lambda z(t) + e_T(t), z(1) = \frac{1}{2} [z(0) + z(1)$ ′  $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle (t)=\lambda z(t)+e_{T}(t),\;z(1)=\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$ (resp.  $z'(t) = \lambda z(t) + e_I(t), z(0) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ ′  $g(t) = \lambda z(t) + e_I(t), \ z(0) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(1/2)+z(1)]$ *) has no solution*

### Fredholm alternative

**prop.**λ *is an eigenvalue of*  $\mathcal Z$ (resp.  $z'(t) = \lambda z(t),\ z(0) = 0$  $^{\prime}$   $=$  $\lambda z, z(1) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$  $\Leftrightarrow$  ∃  $e_T$  ∈  $C([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$  (resp. ∃  $e_I$  ∈  $C([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ *)*  $\colon$ ′  $(t) = \lambda z(t), z(0) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(1/2)+z(1)]$ *)*  $z'(t) = \lambda z(t) + e_T(t), z(1) = \frac{1}{2} [z(0) + z(1)$ ′  $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle (t)=\lambda z(t)+e_{T}(t),\;z(1)=\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$ *(resp.*  $z'(t) = \lambda z(t) + e_I(t), z(0) = \frac{1}{2}[z(1, t)].$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ ′  $g(t) = \lambda z(t) + e_I(t), \ z(0) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(1/2)+z(1)]$ *) has no solution*

- **proof**. (1st case; 2nd analogous)
	- $Lz\mathrel{\mathop:}=z$  $\prime -z=e(t),\ z(1) =\frac{1}{2}$ has a unique solution  $\ z=L^{-1}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$  $\cdot e$
	- $L^{-1}: C([0,1],\mathbb{C}) \to C([0,1],\mathbb{C})$  $\Gamma: C([0,1],\mathbb{C})\rightarrow C([0,1],\mathbb{C})$  is compact
	- EV problem  $\Leftrightarrow z = (\lambda -1$ ) $L^{-1}$  $1z+L^{-1}$  $\lq e$
	- $\mathbf{v}$ Riesz theory  $\Rightarrow$  Fredholm alternative holds

## Terminal type counterexample

#### $e_T\in C([0,1],\mathbb{C})$  :  $z'(t) = (-\log$ ′  $(t)=($  – − $-\log 4 + 2\pi i \, z(t) + e_T(t), \ z(1) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ has no solution

## Terminal type counterexample

#### $e_T\in C([0,1],\mathbb{C})$  :  $z'(t) = (-\log$ ′  $(t)=($  – − $-\log 4 + 2\pi i \, z(t) + e_T(t), \ z(1) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ has no solution

$$
z(t) := x_1(t) + ix_2(t), \ e_T(t) = e_{T,1}(t) + ie_{T,2}(t)
$$

$$
x'_1(t) = -(\log 4)x_1(t) - 2\pi x_2(t) + e_{T,1}(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
x'_2(t) = 2\pi x_1(t) - (\log 4)x_2(t) + h_{T,2}(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
x_1(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x_1(0) + x_1(1/2)]
$$
  
\n
$$
x_2(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x_2(0) + x_2(1/2)]
$$

## Terminal type counterexample

#### $e_T\in C([0,1],\mathbb{C})$  :  $z'(t) = (-\log$ ′  $(t)=($  – − $-\log 4 + 2\pi i \, z(t) + e_T(t), \ z(1) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ has no solution

$$
z(t) := x_1(t) + ix_2(t), \ e_T(t) = e_{T,1}(t) + ie_{T,2}(t)
$$

• 
$$
x'_1(t) = -(\log 4)x_1(t) - 2\pi x_2(t) + e_{T,1}(t)
$$
  
\n $x'_2(t) = 2\pi x_1(t) - (\log 4)x_2(t) + h_{T,2}(t)$   
\n $x_1(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x_1(0) + x_1(1/2)]$   
\n $x_2(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x_2(0) + x_2(1/2)]$ 

#### $f(t,u):=$  $\left(-(\log 4)u_1 - 2\pi u_2 + e_{T,1}(t), 2\pi u_1 - \right)$  $-\left(\log 4\right)u_2 + e_{T,2}(t)$

• 
$$
\langle u|f(t, u)\rangle = -(\log 4)(u_1^2 + u_2^2) + u_1e_{T,1}(t) + u_2e_{T,2}(t)
$$
  
\n $\le -(\log 4)|u|^2 + |e_T(t)|||u| < 0$  when  $|u| \ge R \gg 0$ 

# Initial type counterexample

#### $e_I\in C([0,1],\mathbb{C})$  :  $z'(t) = (\log 4$ ′  $\tau(t)=(\log 4+2\pi i)z(t)+e_I(t),\ z(1)=\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ has no solution

# Initial type counterexample

#### $e_I\in C([0,1],\mathbb{C})$  :  $z'(t) = (\log 4$ ′  $\tau(t)=(\log 4+2\pi i)z(t)+e_I(t),\ z(1)=\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ has no solution

$$
z(t) := x_1(t) + ix_2(t), e_I(t) = e_{I,1}(t) + ie_{I,2}(t)
$$

$$
x'_1(t) = -(\log 4)x_1(t) - 2\pi x_2(t) + e_{I,1}(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
x'_2(t) = 2\pi x_1(t) - (\log 4)x_2(t) + e_{I,2}(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
x_1(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x_1(0) + x_1(1/2)]
$$
  
\n
$$
x_2(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x_2(0) + x_2(1/2)]
$$

# Initial type counterexample

#### $e_I\in C([0,1],\mathbb{C})$  :  $z'(t) = (\log 4$ ′  $\tau(t)=(\log 4+2\pi i)z(t)+e_I(t),\ z(1)=\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}[z(0) + z(1/2)]$ has no solution

$$
z(t) := x_1(t) + ix_2(t), \ e_I(t) = e_{I,1}(t) + ie_{I,2}(t)
$$

• 
$$
x'_1(t) = -(\log 4)x_1(t) - 2\pi x_2(t) + e_{I,1}(t)
$$
  
\n $x'_2(t) = 2\pi x_1(t) - (\log 4)x_2(t) + e_{I,2}(t)$   
\n $x_1(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x_1(0) + x_1(1/2)]$   
\n $x_2(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x_2(0) + x_2(1/2)]$ 

#### $f(t,u):=$  $((\log 4)$  $u_1-2\pi u_2+e_{I,1}(t), 2\pi u_1 -\left(\log 4\right)u_2 + e_{I,2}(t)$

• 
$$
\langle u|f(t, u)\rangle = (\log 4)(u_1^2 + u_2^2) + u_1e_{I,1}(t) + u_2e_{I,2}(t)
$$
  
\n $\geq (\log 4)|u|^2 - |e_I||u| > 0$  when  $|u| \geq R \gg 0$ 

### **Comments**

- the symmetry-breaking with respect to reflection on imaginary axisfor the spectra of the 3-point BVP explains the difference inexistence conditions with respect to periodic conditions
- despite of the same **real** spectrum {0} for the three problems, the presence of the **complex** spectrum in the left- or the right half plane influences like <sup>a</sup> ghost the existence conditions for solutions of thereal nonlinear systems

### **comments**

- the symmetry-breaking with respect to reflection on imaginary axisfor the spectra of the 3-point BVP explains the difference inexistence conditions with respect to periodic conditions
- despite of the same **real** spectrum {0} for the three problems, the presence of the **complex** spectrum in the left- or the right half plane influences like <sup>a</sup> ghost the existence conditions for solutions of thereal nonlinear systems
- maybe  $\bm{\mathrm{extra}}$   $\bm{\mathrm{conditions}}$  upon  $\ f\ \ \mathrm{could}$  provide existence results with the sign conditions of the counterexamples

strictly speaking, our counterexamples do not cover the case of  $n=1\,$  or even of  $\,n\,$  odd. For  $\,n=3,\,$  add the equations  $x^\prime_3$  $x'_3 = -(\log 4)x_3 + \frac{\log 4}{4}(x_1 + x_2), x_3(1) = \frac{1}{2}[x_3(0) + x_3(1/2)]$ or $x'_3 = (\log 4)x_3 + \frac{\log 4}{4}(x_1 + x_2), x_3(0) = \frac{1}{2}[x_3(1/2) + x_3(1)]$ 

## Sharpness of the periodic case

\n- $$
z' = 2\pi iz + e^{2\pi it}, \ z(0) = z(1)
$$
\n- $\Leftrightarrow (e^{-2\pi it}z)' = 1, \ z(0) = z(1)$  has no solution
\n- $z = x_1 + ix_2 \Rightarrow x'_1 = -2\pi x_2 + \cos(2\pi t)$
\n- $x'_2 = 2\pi x_1 + \sin(2\pi t), \ x_1(0) = x_1(1), \ x_2(0) = x_2(1)$  has no solution
\n

## Sharpness of the periodic case

$$
z' = 2\pi i z + e^{2\pi i t}, \ z(0) = z(1)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow (e^{-2\pi i t}z)' = 1, \ z(0) = z(1) \text{ has no solution}
$$

$$
z = x_1 + ix_2 \Rightarrow x'_1 = -2\pi x_2 + \cos(2\pi t)
$$
  
\n
$$
x'_2 = 2\pi x_1 + \sin(2\pi t), x_1(0) = x_1(1), x_2(0) = x_2(1)
$$
  
\nhas no solution

• 
$$
f_1(t, x_1, x_2) = -2\pi x_2 + \cos(2\pi t)
$$
  
\n $f_2(t, x_1, x_2) = 2\pi x_1 + \cos(2\pi it)$   
\n $x = (x_1, x_2), f(t, x) = (f_1(t, x_1, x_2), f_2(t, x_1, x_2))$ 

$$
\bullet \ \langle x, f(t, x) \rangle = \cos(2\pi t)x_1 + \sin(2\pi t)x_2
$$

٠

\n- \n
$$
x = R[\cos(2\pi\theta), \sin(2\pi\theta)] \in \partial B_R
$$
\n $\langle x, f(t, x) \rangle = R \cos[2\pi(t - \theta)] \quad (t, \theta \in [0, 1])$ \n
\n- \n takes both positive and negative values\n
\n

- $g:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n$ decreasing,  $\int_0^1 dh = 1, \; \; h(\alpha) > h(0) \;$  for some  $\; \alpha$  $^{n}\times\mathbb{R}^{n}$  $\mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  continuous,  $h : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$  non  $\overline{0}$  $\frac{d}{d}dh = 1$ ,  $h(\alpha) > h(0)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$
- **Thm**. If ∃  $C$  , open, bounded, convex neighborhood of  $0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  $\boldsymbol{c}$  *and*  $\nu,$  *outer normal field on*  $\partial C$  *:*  $\langle \nu(v) | g(t, u, v) \rangle \geq 0, \; \forall (t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times C \times \partial C,$ *then*

$$
x'' = g(t, x, x'), \ x(0) = 0, \ x'(1) = \int_0^1 dh(s)x'(s) \quad (*)
$$

has at least one solution with  $x$  and  $x$  $^\prime$  taking values in  $\ C$ 

- $g:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n$ decreasing,  $\int_0^1 dh = 1, \; \; h(\alpha) > h(0) \;$  for some  $\; \alpha$  $^{n}\times\mathbb{R}^{n}$  $\mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  continuous,  $h : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$  non  $\overline{0}$  $\frac{d}{d}dh = 1$ ,  $h(\alpha) > h(0)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$
- **Thm**. If ∃  $C$  , open, bounded, convex neighborhood of  $0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  $\boldsymbol{c}$  *and*  $\nu,$  *outer normal field on*  $\partial C$  *:*  $\langle \nu(v) | g(t, u, v) \rangle \geq 0, \; \forall (t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times C \times \partial C,$ *then*

$$
x'' = g(t, x, x'), \ x(0) = 0, \ x'(1) = \int_0^1 dh(s)x'(s) \quad (*)
$$

has at least one solution with  $x$  and  $x$  $^\prime$  taking values in  $\ C$ 

- **Corr.** *If* ∃ <sup>R</sup> <sup>&</sup>gt; <sup>0</sup> :  $\langle v|g(t, u, v)\rangle \geq 0, \; \forall (t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times B_R \times \partial B$ *(\*)* has at least one solution with  $\,x\,$  and  $\,x'\,$  $\,R,\,$  then  $^\prime$  *taking values in*  $\ B_R$
- J.M.-SZYMANSKA ´ -DE¸ BOWSKA, *Proc. AMS* **<sup>145</sup>** (2017), 2023–2032

- similar thm and corollary, with the same sign for  $\,\langle v, g(t, u, v) \rangle,$ for the boundary conditions  $x(0) = 0, \ x'(0) = \int_0^1$ when  $|h(\alpha)| < h(1)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  $\overline{0}$  $\int_0^1 dh(s)x$ ′  $(s)$
- adaptations of 1st order counterexamples show that both existenceconclusions need not be true when  $\langle v, g(t,u,v) \rangle \leq 0$

- similar thm and corollary, with the same sign for  $\,\langle v, g(t, u, v) \rangle,$ for the boundary conditions  $x(0) = 0, \ x'(0) = \int_0^1$ when  $|h(\alpha)| < h(1)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  $\overline{0}$  $\int_0^1 dh(s)x$ ′  $(s)$
- adaptations of 1st order counterexamples show that both existenceconclusions need not be true when  $\langle v, g(t,u,v) \rangle \leq 0$

**Cor.** *If* ∃ <sup>R</sup> <sup>&</sup>gt; <sup>0</sup> : *either*  $\langle v|g(t, u, v)\rangle \geq 0, \; \forall (t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times B_R \times \partial B$  $or \langle v|g(t,u,v)\rangle \leq 0, \; \forall (t,u,v) \in [0,1] \times B_R \times \partial B$  $\pmb{R}$  $\mathbf{H}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  and *then*  $x'' = g(t, x, x'), x(0) = 0, x'(0) = x'$ R*,*has at least one solution with  $x$  and  $x'$  takir  $(x, x(0) = 0, x'(0) = 0$  $x'(1)$  $^\prime$  *taking values in*  $\ B_R$ **proof.** <sup>a</sup> special case of both problems above

adaptation of 1st order counterexample show that the result is sharp

# Thank you for your kind attention !